

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/554,451	MURPHY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Bradley L. Sisson	1634	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Bradley L. Sisson.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard A. Steinberg, Reg. No. 26,588.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 June 2003

**Time:** 2:15 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Thrice-amended Claim 1 and original Claim 2*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Sisson requested authorization to correct a typographical error in Claim 1 where "fluorophone" is found. Mr. Steinberg authorized an examiner's amendment whereby in claim 1, "fluorophone" would be replaced with >>fluorophore<<.